

## Project 1—Reminder

- Instructions and code available on the website - Here: deeprob.org/projects/project1/
- Uses Python, PyTorch and Google Colab
- Implement KNN, linear SVM, and linear softmax classifiers
- Autograder is online and updated
- Due Thursday, January 26th 11:59 PM EST


## Recap from Previous Lectures

－Use Linear Models for image classification problems．
－Use Loss Functions to express preferences over different choices of weights．
－Use Regularization to prevent overfitting to training data．
－Use Stochastic Gradient Descent to minimize our loss functions and train the model．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=f(x ; W)=W x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 馗酋高首面 } \\
& L_{i}=-\log \left(\frac{\exp ^{5^{5}}}{\sum_{i} \mathrm{exp}^{5}}\right) \text { Softmax } \\
& L_{i}=\sum_{j \neq 1} \max \left(0, s_{j}=-s_{y}+1\right) \text { SVM } \\
& L=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_{i}+R(W) \\
& v=0 \\
& \text { for } \mathrm{t} \text { in range(num_steps): } \\
& d w=\text { compute_gradient(w) } \\
& \text { v = rho * v + dw } \\
& \text { w -= learning_rate } * \text { v }
\end{aligned}
$$

Neural Networks

## DR

## Problem: Linear Classifiers aren't that powerful

Geometric Viewpoint


## Visual Viewpoint

One template per class:
Can't recognize different modes of a class


## One solution: Feature Transforms

Original space
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## One solution: Feature Transforms

Original space


## Image Features: Color Histogram
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## Image Features: Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HoG)



1. Compute edge direction/ strength at each pixel
2. Divide image into $8 \times 8$ regions
3. Within each region compute a histogram of edge direction weighted by edge strength

## DR

## Image Features: Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HoG)



1. Compute edge direction/ strength at each pixel
2. Divide image into $8 \times 8$ regions
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Example: 320x240 image gets divided into $40 \times 30$ bins;
9 directions per bin;
feature vector has $30 * 40 * 9=$ 10,800 numbers
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## Image Features: Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HoG)



1. Compute edge direction/ strength at each pixel
2. Divide image into $8 \times 8$ regions
3. Within each region compute a histogram of edge direction weighted by edge strength

## Weak edges

Strong diagonal edges

Edges in all directions
Capture texture and position, robust to small image changes


Example: 320x240 image gets divided into $40 \times 30$ bins; 9 directions per bin; feature vector has $30 * 40 * 9=$ 10,800 numbers

## DR

Image Features: Bag of Words (Data-Driven!)
Step 1: Build codebook


Extract random patches
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Image Features: Bag of Words (Data-Driven!)
Step 1: Build codebook


Extract random patches


Cluster patches to form "codebook" of "visual words"


Step 2: Encode images


## Image Features



## DR

## Example: Winner of 2011 ImageNet Challenge

Low-level feature extraction $\approx 10 \mathrm{k}$ patches per image

- SIFT: 128-dims
- Color: 96-dim $\}$ Reduced to 64 -dim with PCA

FV extraction and compression:

- $\mathrm{N}=1024$ Gaussians, $\mathrm{R}=4$ regions $\rightarrow 520 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{dim} \mathrm{x} 2$
- Compression: $\mathrm{G}=8, \mathrm{~b}=1$ bit per dimension

One-vs-all SVM learning with SGD
Late fusion of SIFT and color systems

## Image Features vs Neural Networks



## Image Features vs Neural Networks



10 numbers giving scores for classes

## Neural Networks

Input: $x \in \mathbb{R}^{D} \quad$ Output: $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{C}$
Before: Linear Classifier: $f(x)=W x+b$
Learnable parameters: $W \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times C}, b \in \mathbb{R}^{C}$
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## Feature Extraction

Linear Classifier

Now: Two-Layer Neural Network: $f(x)=W_{2} \max \left(0, W_{1} x+b_{1}\right)+b_{2}$ Learnable parameters: $W_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times D}, b_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{H}, W_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H}, b_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{C}$

Or Three-Layer Neural Network:
$f(x)=W_{3} \max \left(0, W_{2} \max \left(0, W_{1} x+b_{1}\right)+b_{2}\right)+b_{3}$

## Neural Networks

Before: Linear Classifier:
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f(x)=W x+b
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## Neural Networks

Before: Linear Classifier: $\quad f(x)=W x+b$
Now: Two-Layer Neural Network: $\quad f(x)=W_{2} \max \left(0, W_{1} x+b_{1}\right)+b_{2}$

Element $(i, j)$ of $W_{1}$ gives the effect on $h_{i}$ from $x_{j}$


100

$$
x \in \mathbb{R}^{D}, W_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times D}, W_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H}
$$

## Neural Networks

Before: Linear Classifier:

$$
f(x)=W x+b
$$

Now: Two-Layer Neural Network: $\quad f(x)=W_{2} \max \left(0, W_{1} x+b_{1}\right)+b_{2}$
Element $(i, j)$ of
gives the effect
$h_{i}$ from $x_{j}$
All elements of $x$
all elements of $h$


## DR

## Neural Networks

Linear classifier: One template per class


Before: Linear score function
Now: Two-Layer Neural Network:


$$
x \in \mathbb{R}^{D}, W_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times D}, W_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H}
$$

## Neural Networks

Neural net: first layer is bank of templates; Second layer recombines templates


Before: Linear score function
Now: Two-Layer Neural Network:

## Hidden Layer:

100
$x \in \mathbb{R}^{D}, W_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times D}, W_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H}$

## Neural Networks

Can use different templates to cover multiple modes of a class!
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Can use different templates to cover multiple modes of a class!


Before: Linear score function
Now: Two-Layer Neural Network:


$$
x \in \mathbb{R}^{D}, W_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times D}, W_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H}
$$

## Neural Networks

"Distributed representation": Most templates not interpretable!


Before: Linear score function
Now: Two-Layer Neural Network:


100
$x \in \mathbb{R}^{D}, W_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times D}, W_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H}$

## Deep Neural Networks

Depth $=$ number of layers


## Activation Functions

2-Layer Neural Network
The auction $\operatorname{ReLU}(z)=\max (0, z)$ is called "Rectified Linear Unit"
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f(x)=W_{2} \max \left(0, W_{1} x+b_{1}\right)+b_{2}
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The auction $\operatorname{ReLU}(z)=\max (0, z)$ is called "Rectified Linear Unit"


$$
f(x)=W_{2} \max \left(0, W_{1} x+b_{1}\right)+b_{2}
$$

This is called the activation function of the neural network

Q: What happens if we build a neural network with no activation function?
$f(x)=W_{2}\left(W_{1} x+b_{1}\right)+b_{2}$

## Activation Functions

## 2-Layer Neural Network

The auction $\operatorname{ReLU}(z)=\max (0, z)$ is called "Rectified Linear Unit"


$$
f(x)=W_{2} \max \left(0, W_{1} x+b_{1}\right)+b_{2}
$$

This is called the activation function of the neural network

Q: What happens if we build a neural network with no activation function?

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =W_{2}\left(W_{1} x+b_{1}\right)+b_{2} \\
& =\left(W_{1} W_{2}\right) x+\left(W_{2} b_{1}+b_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

A: We end up with a linear classifier

## Activation Functions

Sigmoid
$\sigma(x)=\frac{1}{1+e-x}$

tanh
$\tanh (x)=\frac{e^{2 x}-1}{e^{2 x}+1}$

ReLU
$\max (0, x)$



## Leaky ReLU <br> $\max (0.2 x, x)$



## Softplus

$\log (1+\exp (x))$

## ELU

$f(x)= \begin{cases}x, & x>0 \\ \alpha(\exp (x)-), & x \leq 0\end{cases}$


## Activation Functions

Sigmoid
$\sigma(x)=\frac{1}{1+e-x}$

## Leaky ReLU <br> $\max (0.2 x, x)$



## tanh

$\tanh (x)=\frac{e^{2 x}-1}{e^{2 x}+1}$

ReLU<br>$\max (0, x)$



## Softplus

$$
\log (1+\exp (x))
$$

## ELU

$f(x)= \begin{cases}x, & x>0 \\ \alpha(\exp (x)-), & x \leq 0\end{cases}$


ReLU is a good default choice for most problems

## DR

## Neural Net in <20 lines!
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Consider a linear transform: $h=W x+b$ where $x, b, h$ are each 2-dimensional
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Points not linearly separable in original space


Consider a linear transform: $h=W x+b$ where $x, b, h$ are each 2-dimensional

Feature transform:
$h=W x+b$


## DR

## Space Warping

Consider a neural net hidden layer: $h=\operatorname{ReLU}(W x+b)$

$$
=\max (0, W x+b) \text { where } x, b, h \text { are each 2-dimensional }
$$

| Feature transform: <br> $h=\operatorname{ReL}(W x+b)$ |
| :--- |
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## Space Warping
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Consider a neural net hidden layer: $h=\operatorname{ReLU}(W x+b)$ $=\max (0, W x+b)$ where $x, b, h$ are each 2-dimensional

Feature transform:
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## Space Warping

Points not linearly separable in original space


Consider a neural net hidden layer: $h=\operatorname{ReLU}(W x+b)$ $=\max (0, W x+b)$ where $x, b, h$ are each 2-dimensional

Feature transform:




Points are linearly separable in feature space!

## DR

## Setting the number of layers and their sizes




20 hidden units


More hidden units = more capacity

## DR

Don't regularize with size; instead use stronger L2
$\lambda=0.001$
$\lambda=0.01$
$\lambda=0.1$


Web demo with ConvNetJS: https://cs.stanford.edu/people/karpathy/convnetis/demo/classify2d.html

## Universal Approximation

A neural network with one hidden layer can approximate any function $f: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{M}$ with arbitrary precision*
*Many technical conditions: Only holds on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$; function must be continuous; need to define "arbitrary precision"; etc.
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## Universal Approximation

## Example: Approximating a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with a two-layer ReLU network

Output is a sum of shifted, scaled ReLUs:

Flip left / right based on sign of $w_{i}$


## Universal Approximation

## Example: Approximating a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with a two-layer ReLU network

First layer weights: $w(3,1)$
First layer bias: $b(3$,

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { First layer weights: } w(3,1) & \begin{array}{c}
\text { Second layer weights: } u(3,1) \\
\text { First layer bias: } p(1,)
\end{array} \\
\text { First layer bias: } b(3,) & y=u_{1} \max \left(0, w_{1} x+b_{1}\right) \\
h_{1}=\max \left(0, w_{1} x+b_{1}\right) & +u_{2} \max \left(0, w_{2} x+b_{2}\right) \\
h_{2}=\max \left(0, w_{2} x+b_{2}\right) & +u_{3} \max \left(0, w_{3} x+b_{3}\right) \\
h_{1}=\max \left(0, w_{3} x+b_{3}\right) & +p
\end{array}
$$
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We can build a "bump function" using

$$
m_{1}=t /\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)
$$

$$
m_{2}=t /\left(s_{4}-s_{3}\right)
$$
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First layer bias: $p(1$,
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What about ...

- Gaps between bumps?
- Other nonlinearities?
- Higher-dimensional functions?


## See Nielsen, Chapter 4

With 4 K hidden units we can build a sum of $K$ bumps
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Universal approximation tells us:

- Neural nets can represent any function

Universal approximation DOES NOT tell us:

- Whether we can actually learn any function with SGD
- How much data we need to learn a function

Remember: kNN is also a universal approximator!
Reality check: Networks don't really learn bumps!


With 4K hidden units we can build a sum of $K$ bumps


Approximate functions with bumps!

## Convex Functions

A function $f: X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex if for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X, t \in[0,1]$,

$$
f\left(t x_{1}+(1-t) x_{2} \leq t f\left(x_{1}\right)+(1-t) f\left(x_{2}\right)\right.
$$

Example: $f(x)=x^{2}$ is convex:
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## Convex Functions

A function $f: X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex if for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X, t \in[0,1]$,

$$
f\left(t x_{1}+(1-t) x_{2} \leq t f\left(x_{1}\right)+(1-t) f\left(x_{2}\right)\right.
$$

Example: $f(x)=\cos (x)$ is not convex:


## Convex Functions

A function $f: X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex if for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X, t \in[0,1]$,

$$
f\left(t x_{1}+(1-t) x_{2} \leq t f\left(x_{1}\right)+(1-t) f\left(x_{2}\right)\right.
$$

Intuition: A convex function is a (multidimensional) bowl

Generally speaking, convex functions are easy to optimize: can derive theoretical guarantees about converging to global minimum*


## Convex Functions

A function $f: X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex if for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X, t \in[0,1]$,

$$
f\left(t x_{1}+(1-t) x_{2} \leq t f\left(x_{1}\right)+(1-t) f\left(x_{2}\right)\right.
$$

Intuition: A convex function is a (multidimensional) bowl

Generally speaking, convex functions are easy to optimize: can derive theoretical guarantees about converging to global minimum*

Linear classifiers optimize a convex function!

$$
\begin{aligned}
s & =f(x ; W)=W x \\
L_{i} & =-\log \left(\frac{e^{s_{y_{i}}}}{\sum+j e^{s_{j}}}\right) \quad \text { Softmax } \\
L_{i} & =\sum_{j \neq y_{i}} \max \left(0, s_{j}-s_{y_{i}}+1\right) \mathrm{SVM} \\
L & =\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_{i}+R(W) \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { where } R(W) \text { is L2 or } \\
\text { L1 regularization }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Convex Functions

A function $f: X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex if for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X, t \in[0,1]$,

$$
f\left(t x_{1}+(1-t) x_{2} \leq t f\left(x_{1}\right)+(1-t) f\left(x_{2}\right)\right.
$$

Intuition: A convex function is a (multidimensional) bowl

Generally speaking, convex functions are easy to optimize: can derive theoretical guarantees about converging to global minimum*

Neural net losses sometimes look convex-ish:


## DR

## Convex Functions

A function $f: X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex if for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X, t \in[0,1]$,

$$
f\left(t x_{1}+(1-t) x_{2} \leq t f\left(x_{1}\right)+(1-t) f\left(x_{2}\right)\right.
$$

Intuition: A convex function is a (multidimensional) bowl

Generally speaking, convex functions are easy to optimize: can derive theoretical guarantees about converging to global minimum*

But often clearly nonconvex:


## DR

## Convex Functions

A function $f: X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex if for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X, t \in[0,1]$,

$$
f\left(t x_{1}+(1-t) x_{2} \leq t f\left(x_{1}\right)+(1-t) f\left(x_{2}\right)\right.
$$

Intuition: A convex function is a (multidimensional) bowl

Generally speaking, convex functions are easy to optimize: can derive theoretical guarantees about converging to global minimum*

With local minima:


## DR

## Convex Functions

A function $f: X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex if for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X, t \in[0,1]$,

$$
f\left(t x_{1}+(1-t) x_{2} \leq t f\left(x_{1}\right)+(1-t) f\left(x_{2}\right)\right.
$$

Intuition: A convex function is a (multidimensional) bowl

Generally speaking, convex functions are easy to optimize: can derive theoretical guarantees about converging to global minimum*

Can get very wild!


## Convex Functions

A function $f: X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex if for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X, t \in[0,1]$,

$$
f\left(t x_{1}+(1-t) x_{2} \leq t f\left(x_{1}\right)+(1-t) f\left(x_{2}\right)\right.
$$

Intuition: A convex function is a (multidimensional) bowl

Generally speaking, convex functions are easy to optimize: can derive theoretical guarantees about converging to global minimum*

Most neural networks need nonconvex optimization

- Few or no guarantees about convergence
- Empirically it seems to work anyway
- Active area of research


## Summary

Feature transform + Linear classifier allows nonlinear decision boundaries

Neural Networks as learnable feature transforms


## Summary

From linear classifiers to fully-connected networks

$$
f(x)=W_{2} \max \left(0, W_{1} x+b_{1}\right)+b_{2}
$$



Linear classifier: One template per class


Neural networks: Many reusable templates


## Summary

From linear classifiers to fully-connected networks

$$
f(x)=W_{2} \max \left(0, W_{1} x+b_{1}\right)+b_{2}
$$



Space Warping


Universal approximation



## Problem: How to compute gradients?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=W_{2} \max \left(0, W_{1} x+b_{!}\right)+b_{2} \\
& L_{i}=\sum_{j \neq y_{i}} \max \left(0, s_{j}-s_{y_{i}}+1\right) \\
& R(W)=\sum_{k} W_{k}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Nonlinear score function
Per-element data loss

L2 regularization
$L\left(W_{1}, W_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_{i}+\lambda R\left(W_{1}\right)+\lambda R\left(W_{2}\right)$ Total loss
If we can compute $\frac{\delta L}{\delta W_{1}}, \frac{\delta L}{\delta W_{2}}, \frac{\delta L}{\delta b_{1}}, \frac{\delta L}{\delta b_{2}}$ then we can optimize with SGD

Next time: Backpropagation



